IJETIR

ISSN (Online) 2583-0554

International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (IJETIR)

Volume 6, Issue 3, March 2026

Impact Factor: 5.731

Impact of Spring-Based Functional Training Versus Conventional Training on Physical Performance Variables in Football Players

Pooja Vikas Kandari[1] and Dr. Naveen Choudhari[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Department of Physical Education

[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education

Mind Power University, Bhimtal, Nainital

Abstract: *Background: Football demands a complex interplay of physical attributes including speed, agility, strength, and balance. Contemporary training methodologies have evolved from conventional approaches toward sport-specific functional training modalities that better replicate match demands. Objective: This paper critically examines the comparative effectiveness of spring-based functional training—encompassing plyometric, TRX-based, resisted sprint, and high-intensity functional training—against conventional training regimens on key physical performance variables in football players. Methods: A systematic synthesis of controlled experimental studies published between 2021-2026 was conducted, analyzing interventions including plyometric training, TRX-based functional training, resisted sprint training, complex training, and high-intensity functional training. Results: Spring-based functional training consistently demonstrated superior improvements across multiple performance domains. TRX-based functional training yielded significant improvements in sprint performance (2.74% reduction, $p<0.001$) and agility (1.08% reduction, $p<0.001$) . Plyometric training showed substantial vertical jump improvements (baseline: 18.33±1.03 cm to 29.00±2.21 cm, $F=123.1$, $p<0.001$) . High-intensity functional training significantly enhanced explosive force, speed power, and technical skills including dribbling, passing, and scoring ($p<0.01$) . Horizontal plyometric training produced greater improvements in maximal power than vertical training (28.1% vs 16.4%) . Resisted sprint training enhanced acceleration (5-m and 10-m sprint performance, $p<0.05$) and multiple agility tests . Complex training demonstrated substantial improvements in agility (pre: $M=8.21$, $SD=1.05$; post: $M=9.43$, $SD=1.08$) . Conclusion: Spring-based functional training modalities provide superior enhancements in physical performance compared to conventional training, with training specificity, neuromuscular adaptation mechanisms, and multi-planar movement patterns underlying these advantages. Evidence supports integrating these methodologies into periodized training programs for optimizing football player performance.*

Keywords: Functional Training, Plyometric Training, Football Performance, Sprint Performance, Agility, Strength Training, Sport-Specific Training

I. INTRODUCTION

Football is a high-intensity intermittent sport characterized by frequent explosive actions including sprinting, jumping, changes of direction, and tactical movements requiring optimal physical conditioning . During a 90-minute match, elite players cover 10-13 kilometers, with sprinting distances of 185-190 meters at velocities reaching 31 $km \cdot h^{-1}$. The ability to accelerate, decelerate, and change direction rapidly is determinant for successful performance, with these actions occurring hundreds of times per match .

IJETIR
ISSN (Online) 2583-0554
International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research (IJETIR)
Volume 6, Issue 3, March 2026
Impact Factor: 5.731

Traditional training approaches—often emphasizing isolated strength development through conventional weightlifting or repetitive conditioning drills—have been the cornerstone of football preparation. However, contemporary sports science increasingly recognizes that training specificity and movement quality are paramount for translating training adaptations to match performance. This recognition has catalyzed the emergence of spring-based functional training methodologies including plyometric training, TRX-based suspension training, resisted sprint training, and high-intensity functional training .

Spring-based functional training is characterized by exercises that exploit the stretch-shortening cycle, multi-planar movements, and sport-specific biomechanical demands. These methodologies aim to enhance neuromuscular efficiency, explosive power, and reactive strength—qualities fundamental to football performance . Unlike conventional training which may emphasize isolated muscle group development, functional training integrates movement patterns that directly transfer to match-specific actions.

This paper examines the comparative effectiveness of spring-based functional training versus conventional training on key physical performance variables in football players. By synthesizing evidence from recent controlled experimental studies, this analysis aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for practitioners seeking to optimize training interventions.

II. METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Training Modalities Examined

Spring-Based Functional Training Approaches:

Modality	Key Characteristics	Primary Performance Targets
Plyometric Training	Explosive, stretch-shortening cycle exercises	Jump performance, sprint speed, explosive power
TRX-Based Functional Training	Suspension-based, unstable surface, multi-planar	Balance, agility, core stability
Resisted Sprint Training	External loading during sprinting	Acceleration, sprint speed, power
High-Intensity Functional Training	High-intensity, multi-joint, sport-specific movements	Explosive force, speed power, technical skills
Complex Training	Combined strength and plyometric exercises	Agility, power output

Conventional Training Approaches:

Modality	Key Characteristics	Primary Performance Targets
Traditional Weightlifting	Isolated, machine-based, or free weight exercises	Maximal strength, hypertrophy
General Conditioning	Non-specific endurance or strength drills	General fitness

2.2 Outcome Measures

Physical performance variables assessed across studies included:
Sprint Performance: 5m, 10m, 20m, and 30m sprint times
Agility: Arrowhead agility test, 505 agility test, Illinois Agility Test, T-test, Zigzag agility test
Balance: Y-Balance Test, dynamic balance measures
Jump Performance: Vertical jump, horizontal jump, countermovement jump
Explosive Force: Power output measures
Technical Skills: Dribbling, passing, scoring, ball control

III. COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF SPRING-BASED FUNCTIONAL TRAINING

3.1 TRX-Based Functional Training

An 8-week controlled experimental study investigating TRX-based functional training in youth football players aged 12-15 years demonstrated significant improvements across multiple performance variables . The experimental group (n=15; mean age 14.0±0.83 years) showed a significant decrease of 0.12 seconds (2.74%) in 20-meter sprint performance ($p<0.001$), while the control group exhibited no significant change. Similarly, Arrowhead agility test performance improved by 0.10 seconds (1.08%) in the experimental group ($p<0.001$), with the control group showing no significant improvement. Between-group comparisons revealed significant differences favoring the experimental group for both agility ($p<0.039$) and sprint performance ($p<0.026$).

Dynamic balance, assessed via the Y-Balance Test, demonstrated significant increases in reach distances across all directions in the TRX group ($p<0.05$). No significant changes were detected in the control group. Intergroup comparison showed a significant difference in the left posteromedial direction ($p<0.045$). These findings establish the efficacy of suspension-based functional training for enhancing sprint, agility, and balance performance .

3.2 Plyometric Training

A randomized, triple-blinded clinical trial involving 32 football players (mean age: 25.31±5.05 years for plyometric group; 24.68±4.81 years for conventional group) evaluated the effects of an 8-week plyometric training program . The plyometric group demonstrated remarkable improvements: vertical jump performance increased from 18.33±1.03 cm at baseline to 29.00±2.21 cm at week 8 ($F=123.1$, $p<0.001$), representing a 58.2% improvement. The conventional training group showed more modest improvement from 18.31±2.44 cm to 25.56±1.68 cm ($F=75.90$, $p<0.001$). Similar superiority was observed in agility, dribbling, and power shooting tests, with the plyometric group significantly outperforming the conventional group across all measured criteria.

The orientation specificity of plyometric training was examined in a study comparing vertical versus horizontal plyometric training in 28 young elite soccer players . Both training orientations produced significant improvements in jump performances (+4.9% to +9.0%) and sprint times (-5.5% to -8.7%). However, horizontal plyometric training yielded greater relative improvements in 5m and 15m sprint times, horizontal jump lengths, and force-velocity profile parameters. Notably, maximal power improved by 28.1% in the horizontal group compared to 16.4% in the vertical group. The decrease rate of horizontal force orientation during the 30m sprint improved by 22.9% in the horizontal group, suggesting superior transfer to horizontal ballistic actions—highly relevant to football performance .

3.3 High-Intensity Functional Training (HIFT)

An investigation comparing HIFT and Oxford System exercises against conventional weightlifting methods in 24 elite football players from Darbandikhan Sports Club provides compelling evidence for functional training superiority . The experimental group demonstrated significant improvements in explosive force, speed power, and maximum speed compared to the control group ($t=-4.257$, $p=0.002$). Technical skill improvements in dribbling ($t=1.147$, $p=0.001$), passing ($t=1.264$, $p=0.002$), and scoring ($t=4.287$, $p=0.004$) were also statistically significant. The experimental group outperformed the control group across all measured criteria, reinforcing the efficacy of integrating high-intensity functional training into structured programs.

3.4 Resisted Sprint Training

A 6-week intervention examining resisted sprint training with ball inclusion in 30 male U-19 elite soccer players (age: 18.10±0.66 years) demonstrated substantial benefits over regular soccer training alone . Within-group analysis for the experimental group revealed significant improvements in 5m sprint ($p=0.005$) and 10m sprint ($p=0.016$) performance. Agility improvements were observed across multiple tests: New Multi-directional Agility Test (NMAT; $p=0.002$), Illinois Agility Test (IAT; $p=0.002$), T-test ($p=0.003$), Arrowhead COD test ($p<0.001$ for both directions), and Zigzag agility test ($p=0.006$). No significant improvements were observed in the control group. Between-groups analysis revealed differences favoring the experimental group in Zigzag-B, IAT, Arrowhead-R, Arrowhead-L, and NMAT, confirming the efficacy of resisted sprint training for acceleration and agility enhancement.

3.5 Complex Training

A randomized controlled trial involving 120 intermediate-level football players evaluated 6-week complex training interventions . The complex training group ($n=60$) demonstrated substantial enhancements in agility (pre: $M=8.21$, $SD=1.05$; post: $M=9.43$, $SD=1.08$) compared to the conventional group ($n=60$). These findings, drawn from a larger sample than many previous studies, strengthen the evidence base for complex training effectiveness.

IV. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: FUNCTIONAL VS. CONVENTIONAL TRAINING

4.1 Superiority of Spring-Based Functional Training

The synthesized evidence consistently demonstrates that spring-based functional training modalities produce superior improvements compared to conventional training across multiple physical performance variables. Several factors explain this superiority:

Neuromuscular Adaptation: Functional training exploits the stretch-shortening cycle, enhancing neuromuscular efficiency and rate of force development. Plyometric training, for instance, improves the neural drive to muscles and enhances muscle-tendon stiffness, facilitating more rapid force production .

Training Specificity: The principle of specificity dictates that training adaptations are specific to the movement patterns and velocities employed. Resisted sprint training, which mimics actual sprinting mechanics while providing overload, produces greater transfer to sprint performance than non-specific strength training .

Multi-Planar Movement: Football requires multi-directional movements. TRX-based functional training, which challenges stability and coordination in multiple planes, enhances the dynamic balance and proprioceptive capabilities essential for match performance .

4.2 Acceleration and Sprint Performance

Evidence consistently favors functional training for enhancing acceleration. Resisted sprint training improved 5m and 10m sprint times significantly, with no improvements observed in conventional training groups . Horizontal plyometric training yielded superior enhancements to short-sprint acceleration compared to vertical training, with improvements in maximal power of 28.1% versus 16.4% . TRX-based functional training produced significant 2.74% sprint improvements .

4.3 Agility and Change of Direction

Agility improvements were consistently observed with functional training. Resisted sprint training improved performance across multiple agility tests including Illinois Agility Test, T-test, and Arrowhead COD tests . TRX-based training produced significant Arrowhead agility improvements (1.08%) . Complex training substantially improved agility measures (pre: 8.21 to post: 9.43) . The conventional training groups in these studies demonstrated no significant improvements.

4.4 Technical Skill Transfer

A key advantage of sport-specific functional training is the transfer to technical skills. HIFT significantly enhanced dribbling ($p=0.001$), passing ($p=0.002$), and scoring ($p=0.004$) . The modified FIFA 11+ program incorporating

functional elements enhanced both sport-specific fitness and passing performance, with sport-specific performance showing moderate correlation with passing skills (r=0.32-0.52, p<0.05) .

V. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND PHYSIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS

5.1 Neuromuscular Adaptation Mechanisms

The superior outcomes of spring-based functional training can be understood through several physiological mechanisms:

Stretch-Shortening Cycle: The spring-like behavior of muscle-tendon units during functional training facilitates greater elastic energy storage and release, enhancing explosive power output.

Rate of Force Development: Functional training enhances the neural drive to muscle, increasing the rate at which force can be generated—critical for acceleration and change of direction.

Intermuscular Coordination: Multi-joint functional movements improve coordination between muscle groups, enhancing movement efficiency and reducing energy waste.

5.2 Periodization Considerations

Evidence supports the integration of functional training modalities into periodized training programs. The delayed effects of priming protocols—where improved acceleration is observed 20 hours after heavy resistance or ballistic training—suggest strategic integration into match-week planning . Both heavy resistance (3×2 repetitions at 85% 1RM back squat) and ballistic training (3×5 repetitions at 40% 1RM jump squat) improved 10m sprint times (0.01 and 0.03 seconds respectively, p<0.05), with ballistic training also improving 5m sprint (0.03 seconds, p<0.05) .

VI. CONCLUSION

This systematic synthesis of controlled experimental evidence demonstrates that spring-based functional training modalities—including TRX-based functional training, plyometric training, resisted sprint training, high-intensity functional training, and complex training—consistently produce superior improvements in physical performance variables compared to conventional training in football players.

Key Findings:

TRX-based functional training significantly improves sprint (2.74%), agility (1.08%), and dynamic balance (p<0.05)

Plyometric training produces substantial improvements in vertical jump (58.2% over 8 weeks) and outperforms conventional training across agility, dribbling, and power shooting

Horizontal plyometric training yields superior horizontal ballistic action improvements compared to vertical training

High-intensity functional training enhances explosive force, speed power, and technical skills with high statistical significance

Resisted sprint training improves acceleration and multiple agility measures

Complex training substantially enhances agility performance

REFERENCES

1. Atıcı, M., & Bayrakdar, A. (2025). The effects of TRX-based functional training on sprint, agility, and balance in youth football players: A controlled experimental study. *Journal of Sport for All and Recreation*, *7*(3), 578–587. https://doi.org/10.56639/jsar.1735638
2. Hasan, S., Kandasamy, G., Alyahya, D., Alonazi, A., Jamal, A., Unnikrishnan, R., Muthusamy, H., & Iqbal, A. (2021). Effect of resisted sprint and plyometric training on lower limb functional performance in collegiate male football players: A randomised control trial. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(13), 6702. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18136702
3. Jørgensen, R. B., et al. (2025). Delayed effects of heavy resistance or ballistic priming on sprint and agility in elite U19 football. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, *65*(5), 599–605. https://doi.org/10.23736/S0022-4707.25.16223-3

4. Kanthadadol, D., & Sitilertpisan, P. (2025). Effect of the modified FIFA 11+ program on sport specific fitness and passing performance in male soccer players. *Academic Journal of Thailand National Sports University*, *17*(2), 35–46. https://he02.tci-thaijo.org/index.php/TNSUJournal/article/view/268373
5. Naveen Raj, A., Kamalakannan, M., Anitha, A., & Ramana, K. (2024). Recent experimental investigation on the effectiveness of complex training for intermediate football players. *Indian Journal of Physiotherapy & Occupational Therapy*, *18*(Special Issue), 237–242.
6. Norgeot, F., & Fouré, A. (2024). Effects of vertical and horizontal plyometric training on jump performances and sprint force-velocity profile in young elite soccer players. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, *124*(9), 2591–2601. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-024-05477-4
7. Plyometric exercises: The effect on physical fitness and technical skills of football players. (2024). *ScienceOpen*. https://api.scienceopen.com/document?vid=8e620e68-9187-47f5-81fe-bde2d70a953b
8. Saeed, Z. M., et al. (2025). High-intensity functional training (HIFT) and the Oxford system: Their effect on the physical and skill factors of football players during weight training. *Directory of Open Access Journals*. https://doaj.org/article/1175cdaf41d0457db3f4931a9c138f27
9. Sánchez, M., et al. (2024). Effects of resisted sprint training with ball on speed and agility performance in U-19 elite soccer players. *PLoS ONE*, *19*(10), e0311002. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0311002